

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

March 31, 1999 LB 585

SENATOR BROMM: Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you. Members of the Legislature, we all know the answer to every question that I'm posing. But the reason I said this is the moment of truth, I want to see if I can get us to either act on principle, or stop talking about it. It galls me to hear the conversations that we have about saving lives and setting examples for children and so forth, when that has nothing to do with it at all. I would be a lot less irritated and annoyed, and sometimes even exasperated, if it could be frankly acknowledged that the politicians in Washington craft rules and regulations to exempt out themselves and their big shot friends. But then they're going to impose things on the states, but they will allow the states to include those exemptions, too. We should just acknowledge that as much as is required by the federal government to be done is what the Legislature is going to do. Whether it makes sense or not, it does not have to make sense, Senator Redfield, as long as it generates dollars. And if that would be the argument, there wouldn't be much I could say against it, other than that that is a very unworthy motive for laws to be enacted by a legislature supposedly interested in the welfare of society. So what my amendment is designed to do is to bring our law in conformance with the principle stated as the reason for this kind of legislation. And what is undergirding that principle? To do away with open alcoholic beverage containers in these vehicles. Frankly, I don't see the difference between a limousine, which is hired out, or a limousine which is owned by a person and that person fills it up with friends, acquaintances and so forth. You've got these two stretch vehicles, side by side, made by the same manufacturer, the same color, the same tinted windows, everything is exactly the same, except limousine A is rented from Acme Limousine Company, limousine B is owned by "Baron Geno" Tyson. Those in Baron's limousine will have beer cans, each with a half can of beer...with...half full of beer. Those in Acme's limousine will have a beer can, half full of beer. Both vehicles are stopped. Everybody is made to get out. But then they leave the ones alone in the Acme limousine, because they rented that limousine. Everybody in "Baron" Tyson's limousine, well they wouldn't be arrested, because they're big shots, but you get the point for the example. They would be subject to the law and punished. That doesn't make sense. I